

# Ch6: Lebesgue Measure Theory

## from Real Mathematical Analysis

Colin Cleveland

June 23, 2019

### 1 Outer measure

**Definition.** Lebesgue Outer Measure:

In  $\mathbb{R}^1$ , define measure of a interval  $I := (a, b)$ ;  $|I| = b - a$  and for a set  $S \in 2^{\mathbb{R}}$ , outer measure

$$m^*(S) = \inf \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |I_i| \mid \cup_{i=1}^{\infty} I_i \supset S \right\}$$

Analogously, In  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , hyper-rectangle  $R := (a_1, b_1) \times \dots \times (a_n, b_n)$  and  $|R| = (b_1 - a_1) \times \dots \times (b_n - a_n)$ . and for a set  $S \in 2^{\mathbb{R}^n}$ , outer measure

$$m^*(S) = \inf \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |R_i| \mid \cup_{i=1}^{\infty} R_i \supset S \right\}$$

We have the following properties for outer measure:

**Theorem 1.1.** Properties of Lebesgue Outer measure:

- $m^*(\emptyset) = 0$
- $m^*(A) < m^*(B)$  if  $A \subset B$
- $A = \cup A_i$ ,  $m^*(A) \leq \sum A_i$

**Definition.** Zero set: A set  $S \in \Omega$ , if its outer measure is zero, we call it a zero set.

**Proposition 1.1.** Countable union of zero set is still measured zero

*Proof.* Given the permutation of set, and for any number  $\epsilon > 0$ . Cover  $i$ -th set with  $\epsilon/2^{i+1}$ . Then the outer measure of the set union is smaller than  $\epsilon$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 1.2.** Bounded Closed box is still the same size as its open counter part in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

*Proof.* When  $n = 1$ , and  $B = [a, b]$   $m^*(B) \leq b - a$  from  $\epsilon$ -principle. For the reverse inequality, since  $B$  is compact, for all open interval covering, we have a finite subcovering for  $B$ . Suppose the subcovering is  $\{I_1, \dots, I_N\}$ . If  $N = 1$ ,  $|I_1| > (b - a)$  trivially.

Suppose we know the length sum of a covering by  $N$  intervals is bigger than  $(b - a)$  for any bounded closed interval, then for a  $N + 1$  covering of  $B$ , suppose the interval that covers  $a$  is  $I_1 : (a_1, b_1)$ . If  $b_1 > b$ ,  $\sum_{i=1}^{N+1} |I_i| \geq (b - a)$  trivially.

Other wise, we have that  $B \setminus (a_1, b_1) = [c, b]$  is covered by  $N$  interval thus  $\sum_{i=1}^N |I_{i+1}| \geq (b - c)$  and  $|I_1| > (a - c)$ , so the sum of length is still greater or equal to  $b - a$ . By induction, the result follows.

When  $n > 1$ ,  $m^*(B) \leq \prod_{i=1}^n (b_i - a_i) = |B|$  from  $\epsilon$ -principle. For the reverse inequality, with Lebesgue number lemma, we have a  $\lambda$  s.t. every cube with diameter smaller than  $\lambda$  will fall in a open cube.

Suppose an arbitrary open cubes covering  $C$  induce a Lebesgue number  $\lambda$ . We may partition on  $B$  s.t. every small cube with diameter smaller than  $\lambda$ . Say each small cube is  $s_i$ , then we have  $\sum |s_i| = |B|$  and  $\sum_{s_i \subset C_i} |s_i| \leq |C_i|$ . We have that

$$|B| \leq \sum_i \sum_{s_k \subset C_i} |s_i| \leq \sum_i |C_i|$$

□

## 2 Measurability

**Definition.** Abstract Outer Measure:

Any measure that satisfy Properties of Theorem 1.1 is a Abstract Outer Measure

**Definition.** Measurable set (Caratheodory's criterion): for any subset  $A$  of  $\Omega$ ,  $m^*A = m^*A \cap E + m^*A \cap E^c$ . Then  $E$  is measurable. We call the collection of measurable set (of  $\Omega$ )  $\mathcal{M}$

**Example.** Non-measurable set:

**Definition.**  $\sigma$ -Algebra  $\sigma$ :

1.  $\emptyset, \Omega \in \sigma$
2.  $A \in \sigma, A^c \in \sigma$
3.  $E_i \in \sigma, E = \cup E_i, E \in \sigma$

**Theorem 2.1.**  $\sigma(\mathcal{M}) = \mathcal{M}$  with any outer measure. Moreover, the outer measure restricted to this  $\sigma$ -algebra is countable additivity.

*Proof.* First of all, proof that  $\mathcal{M}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra:

1.  $\emptyset, \Omega$  is measurable because  $m^*(X) \geq m^*(X \cap \emptyset) + m^*(X \cap \Omega)$  The former one is a zero set, the latter one is a subset of  $X$ .

2. If  $E$  is measurable,  $m^*(X) = m^*(X \cap E) + m^*(X \cap E^c)$  for any  $X \subset \Omega$ . Obviously,  $E^c$  is measurable because  $(E^c)^c = E$ .
3. First, prove that  $\mathcal{M}$  is closed under intersection (thus, closed under union, and difference as  $\mathcal{M}$  is closed under complement.) Second, prove  $\mathcal{M}$  is finite additive if each  $E_i$  is disjoint to each other. Third, prove that  $\mathcal{M}$  is closed under countable union in disjoint scenario. Finally, prove that  $\mathcal{M}$  is countably additive and closed countable under union.

- (a)  $A, B \in \mathcal{M}$ ,  

$$m^*X \geq m^*X \cap A + m^*X \cap A^c \geq m^*(X \cap (A \cap B)) + m^*(X \cap (A \cap B^c)) + m^*(X \cap (A^c \cap B)) + m^*(X \cap (A^c \cap B^c)) \geq m^*(X \cap (A \cap B)) + m^*(X \cap (A \cap B)^c).$$
 So it is closed under intersection, and inductively, closed under finite intersection, union, and difference.
- (b) If  $\{E_i\}$  are finite and disjoint to each other, we have that  $mE = m(E \cap E_1) + m(E \cap E_1^c) = m(E_1) + m(\cup_{i=2}^n E_i)$ . Inductively, we have  $\{E_i\}$  be additive.
- (c) Suppose  $\{E_i\}$  are disjointed to each other, so would  $\{E_i \cap X\}$  be. For any  $n$ , we have  $\cup_{i=1}^n E_i$  measurable and  $(\cup_{i=1}^n E_i)^c \supset E^c$ . So  $m^*X = m^*(X \cap (\cup_{i=1}^n E_i)) + m^*(X \cap (\cup_{i=1}^n E_i)^c) \geq \sum_{i=1}^n m^*(X \cap E_i) + m^*(X \cap E^c)$ . Since the  $\sum_{i=1}^n m^*(X \cap E_i) + m^*(X \cap E^c)$  increases as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we know from monotone convergence theorem, its limit is smaller than  $m^*X$ . Then, with the subadditivity property of outer measure, we have the equation:

$$m^*X = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} m^*(X \cap E_i) + m^*(X \cap E^c) \quad (1)$$

- (d) Replace  $X$  as  $E$  in (1), we have the countably additivity. For any countable union of  $\{E_i\}$ , we may take  $\{E'_i\}$  as  $E'_i = E_i / \cup_{k=1}^{i-1} E_k$ . So we have  $E = \cup E_i = \cup E'_i$  with  $\{E'_i\}$  disjointed to each other. So we may use (1) to prove that  $E$  is measurable.

□

**Theorem 2.2** (Measure Continuity Theorem). Suppose  $\{E_i\}, \{F_i\}$  are sequence of measurable set.

1. If  $E_i \uparrow E$ ,  $m^*(E_i) \rightarrow m^*(E)$ .
2. If  $F_i \downarrow F$ , and  $m(F_1) < \infty$ ,  $m^*(F_i) \rightarrow m^*(F)$

### 3 Meseomorphism

**Definition.** Measure Space: a triple  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$  is a measure space if  $\Omega$  is a set,  $\mathcal{F}$  is the  $\sigma$ -algebra of some subsets of  $\Omega$ , and  $\mu$  is a measure.

Note that don't confuse with measurable space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$  which does not require a measure.

Now suppose we have two measure space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu), (\Omega', \mathcal{F}', \mu')$ .

**Definition.** For a  $T : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega'$ , it is:

1. Mesemorphism, if  $X \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $TX \in \mathcal{F}'$ .
2. Meseomorphism, if  $T$  is a bijection of mesemorphism.
3. Mesisometry: if  $\mu'(TX) = \mu(X)$ .

**Theorem 3.1.** Suppose  $T$  is a bijection with  $\mu'^*(TX) \leq t\mu^*(X)$  and  $\mu^*(T^{-1}X') \leq t^{-1}\mu'^*(X')$ . It is a mesomorphism.

*Proof.* First, prove the inequality is actually equation. Second, use an arbitrary test set to prove the mesomorphism property.

1.  $\mu^*(X) = \mu^*(T^{-1}(T(X))) \leq t^{-1}\mu'^*(T(X)) \leq t^{-1}t\mu^*(x) = \mu(X)$  So the equation holds.
2. For an arbitrary test set  $X \subset \Omega$ ,  $TX = X' \subset \Omega'$ . Also, from the fact that  $T$  is bijection,  $T(A \cap B) = TA \cap TB$ .  

$$\mu'^*(X') = \mu'^*(TX) = t(\mu^*(X)) = t(\mu^*(X \cap E) + \mu(X \cap E^c)) = t(t^{-1}(\mu'^*(T(X \cap E)) + \mu'^*(T(X \cap E^c)))) = \mu'^*(X' \cap TE) + \mu'^*(X' \cap TE^c).$$
 So  $TE$  is also measurable.

□

## 4 Regularity

Here the mesaure theory focus on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Now we let the Lebesgue measure as  $m$ .

**Theorem 4.1.** All open sets are Lebesgue measurable.

*Proof.* Form 4.1.1, we have that all open sets is in  $\sigma(\{\text{half space}\})$ . The result follows. □

**Lemma 4.1.1.** All half spaces  $H = (a, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  are measurable.

*Proof.* Set the test set  $X \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and a open half space  $(a, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ . We can find a countable cube covering  $\{R_i\}$  that covers  $X$  with  $\sum |R_i| < m^*(X) + \epsilon$ .

For each  $R_i$ , cut it into  $R_i^+ := R_i \cap H$  and  $R_i^- := R_i \cap H^c$ . We have  $\cup |R_i^+| \supset X \cap H$  and  $\cup |R_i^-| \supset X \cap H^c$ . Consequently,  $m^*(X \cap H) \in \cup R_i^+$  and  $m^*(X \cap H^c) \in \cup R_i^-$ . Moreover,  $\sum |R_i^+| + |R_i^-| = \sum |R_i|$ .

Consequently,  $m^*X \leq m^*(X \cap H) + m^*(X \cap H^c) \leq \sum |R_i^+| + |R_i^-| = \sum |R_i| \leq m^*X + \epsilon$ .

Since the  $\epsilon$  is arbitrary, we have the measurability of  $H$ . □

**Definition.**  $F_\sigma$  and  $G_\delta$  set:

1.  $F_\sigma$  is the collection of countable union closed set.
2.  $G_\delta$  is the collection of countable intersection of open set.

**Theorem 4.2.** The regular property of Lebesgue measure:

A set  $E$  is measurable if and only exist  $F \in F_\sigma, G \in G_\delta$  such that  $F \subset E \subset G$  and  $m(G \setminus F) = 0$ .

*Proof.* For the necessary direction, we have  $E = G \cup E \setminus G$ .  $m^*(E \setminus G) = 0$  implies that  $E \setminus G$  is a measurable set. So  $E$  is measurable.

For the sufficient direction, with the 4.2.1, we know the result hold if  $E$  is bounded.

If  $E$  is unbounded, let  $E_i = (R_i \setminus R_{i-1}) \cap E$  with  $R_i$  the cube of side length  $2i$ , centred at 0.

Pick the open covering  $U_n^i$  that covers the  $E_i$  and  $m(U_n^i) < m(E_i) + \frac{1}{n2^i}$ . From the fact that  $\cup_{i=1}^\infty U_n^i \setminus E \subset \cup_{i=1}^\infty (U_n^i \setminus E_i)$  We know  $m(\cup_{i=1}^\infty U_n^i \setminus E) \leq m(\cup_{i=1}^\infty (U_n^i \setminus E_i)) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n m(U_n^i) - m(E_i) \leq \frac{1}{n}$

For each  $E_i$ , take out the  $G_\delta$  set  $V_i$ , because  $m(E_i) = m(V_i)$  we have  $m(\cup_{i=1}^\infty U_n^i \setminus \cup_{i=1}^\infty V_i) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n m(U_n^i) - m(V_i) \leq \frac{1}{n}$

So let  $U_n = \cup_{i=1}^\infty U_n^i$ ,  $\cap U_n = U$ , we have  $m(U \setminus V) = 0$ . Obvious,  $U$  is  $F_\sigma$  set and  $V$  is  $G_\delta$  set and  $U \supset E \supset V$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 4.2.1.** Regularity sandwich:

A bounded set  $E$  is measurable if and only if it has a regular sandwich  $F \in F_\sigma, G \in G_\delta$ , such that  $F \subset E \subset G$  and  $m(F) = m(G)$ .

*Proof.* For the sufficient direction, take a rectangle  $R$  contains  $E$  and let  $E^c = R \setminus E$ . We have  $mR = mE + mE^c$ . So we have some open set  $U_n, V_n$  s.t.  $U_n \downarrow U, V_n \downarrow V$  with  $\forall U_n \supset E, V_n \supset E^c$ , and  $mU_n \rightarrow mE, mV_n \rightarrow mE^c$ .

With this we already have  $\cap U_n = U, \cap V_n = V$  and they are  $F_\sigma$  sets and  $mU = mE$ . Then take  $V'_i = V_n^c \cap R, \cup V'_i = R \cap (\cup V_n)^c = m(R \cup V_n) = m(R) - m(E^c) = m(E)$ , we have  $V'_i \in E$ , closed, and  $\cup V'_i$  is a  $G_\delta$  set that  $m(\cup V'_i) = m(E)$ .

Consequently, the result follows.

For the necessary direction, Because  $F \supset E \supset G$ , we have  $m^*(E \setminus G) \leq m^*(F \setminus G) = 0$ , so  $E \setminus G$  is a measurable set. From the fact that  $G$  is measurable set, the result follows.  $\square$

**Corollary 4.2.1.** Lipeomorphism (Lipschitz continuous, and bijection) is a mesomorphism

*Proof.* By definiton, Lipschitz continuous function map each set  $E$  to  $f(E)$  with  $m(f(E)) \leq t(m(E))$ . So it maps zero set to zero set. Consequently, the regular sandwich relation for any  $G \subset E \subset F$  still holds.  $\square$

## 4.1 Affine motion

**Theorem 4.3.** An affine motion  $T : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  is a meseomorphism and mutiplies the measure by  $|\det T|$

Since every linear transformation can be decomposed as  $O_1 D O_2$  with  $O_1, O_2$  orthonormal (Polar Decomposition), From the lemma 4.3.1, 4.3.2, For any measurable set, we can write it as  $\cup B_i \cup Z_1$  and  $\cup C_i \cup Z_2$  with  $Z_1, Z_2$  zero set ,and  $B_i$  and  $C$  are open disjointed balls and cubs.

$O_1, O_2$  maps each  $B_i$  to another  $B'_i$  with the radius the same and still disjointed to each other.

$D$  maps each  $C_i$  to size of  $|\det T|C_i$ , and still disjointed to each other.

Moreover,  $D, O_i$  maps zero set to zero set as they are Lipschitz. Consequently,  $T$  is a meseomorphism and maps  $m(T(E)) = |\det T|m(E)$ .

**Lemma 4.3.1.** Every open set in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is a countable union of disjoint open cubes plus a zero set.

**Lemma 4.3.2.** Every open set in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is a countable union of disjoint open balls plus a zero set.

*Proof.* This is not the point of the chapter, so neglect it now.  $\square$

## 4.2 Hull, Kernel, Inner Measure

**Definition.** Hull and Kernel, (Measure theoretic) Boundary of a set  $E$ :

1. Hull: The smallest  $G_\delta$  set that contains  $E$
2. Kernel: The biggest  $F_\sigma$  set contained in  $E$
3. (Measure theoretic) Boundary:  $H_E \setminus K_E$

**Definition.** Inner measure  $m_*$ : which is measure of the kernel of a given set.

**Theorem 4.4.** Measurability of a set in a box:  $A \subset B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  with  $B$  a box, we have  $m^*B = m^*A + m^*(B \setminus A)$  if and only if  $A$  is measurable.

*Proof.* The necessary direction simply follows from the Caratheodory definition.

For any  $K \subset A$  is closed, We have  $B \setminus K$  is open and contains  $B \setminus A$ . Also,  $mB = mK + m(B \setminus K)$ . Then, take  $K \rightarrow K_A$ , we have  $mB = m_*A + m^*(B \setminus A)$ .

From the conditions, we have  $m_*A = m^*A$ , so  $A$  is measurable.  $\square$

## 5 Products and Slices

Here, we merely consider in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  space.

**Theorem 5.1.** Measurable Product Theorem:

If  $A \in \mathbb{R}^n, B \in \mathbb{R}^m$  are Lebesgue measurable, Then,  $m(A \times B) = m(A)m(B)$ . Let  $0 \cdot \infty = 0$  for convenience.

*Proof.* From 5.1.3, and the  $\sigma$ -property of measurability, we have every  $F_\sigma, G_\delta$  set,  $m(A \times B) = m(A) \times m(B)$ .

Then, if  $A, B$  measurable, take  $F_A, G_A, F_B, G_B$  the  $F_\sigma, G_\delta$  set with  $F_A \supset A \supset G_A, m(F_A) = m(G_A)$  and  $F_B \supset B \supset G_B, m(F_B) = m(G_B)$ . Obviously,  $F_A \times F_B$  is still a  $F_\sigma$  and  $G_A \times G_B$  is still a  $G_\delta$ .

Consequently,  $F_A \times F_B \supset A \times B \supset G_A \times G_B$  and  $m(F_A \times F_B) = m(A \times B) = m(G_A \times G_B) = m(A)m(B)$   $\square$

**Lemma 5.1.1.** For product of cubes:  $m(A \times B) = m(A)m(B)$

*Proof.* This has been deduced previously.  $\square$

**Lemma 5.1.2.** For product with a zero set:  $m(A \times Z) = 0$

*Proof.* We may use  $\epsilon$  method to covers  $Z$  with countable union of cubes  $\cup C$  with total measure smaller than  $\epsilon$ . Use a big cube  $R$  to cover  $A$  if  $A$  is bounded. So we have  $A \times Z \leq R \times \cup C = 0$ .

For  $A$  unbounded case, we may use  $R_i$  to approach  $A$ . The result follows.  $\square$

**Lemma 5.1.3.** For product of open sets:  $m(A \times B) = m(A)m(B)$

*Proof.* Because Each open set can be written as countable disjointed union of cubes plus a zero set, and multiply of zero set with any set is still measure zero.

Pick  $A = \cup_{i=1}^{\infty} C_i^a \cup Z_a$ ,  $B = \cup_{i=1}^{\infty} C_i^b \cup Z_b$ .

So  $m(A \times B) = m(\cup_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}} C_i^a \times C_j^b + Z) = m(A) \times m(B)$   $\square$

**Definition.** Slice: for a set  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m$ , the slice of  $E$  on  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is

$$E_x = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^m | (x, y) \in E\}$$

**Theorem 5.2.** Quasi-Chebyshev theorem:

Suppose  $W \in I^{n+m}$  is open,  $\alpha > 0$ . Take  $X_\alpha := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n | m(W_x) > \alpha\}$ . Then,

$$m(W) \geq \alpha m(X_\alpha)$$

*Proof.* The openness of  $W$  gave us that every slice of  $W$  is open. Pick  $x \in X_\alpha$ , we have a compact set  $K_x$  with  $m(K_x) > \alpha$ . We may find a open set around  $x = U(x)$  with  $x' \in U(x)$ ,  $W_{x'} \supset K_x$  from the fact that  $W$  is open. This gave us that  $X_\alpha$  is a open set in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , thus it can be written as  $\cup_{i=1}^{\infty} I_i$  with each  $I_i$  an open cube and in each  $I_i$ , contain an  $K_i$  such that  $\forall x \in I_i, W_x \supset K_i$  with  $m(K_i) > \alpha$ .

For each compact set  $K$  in  $X_\alpha$ , we may reduce the  $X_\alpha$  (which covers  $K$ ) to  $\cup_{i=1}^n I_{j_i}$ , which can be cut into finite many disjoint open cubes with a zero set.

Thus, we have

$$m(W) > m(\cup_{i=1}^n I_{j_i} \times K_{j_i}) = \sum_{i=1}^n m(I_{j_i}) m(K_{j_i}) > \alpha \sum_{i=1}^n m(I_{j_i}) > \alpha m(K)$$

So take  $K \rightarrow X_\alpha$ , we has the equality still holds and by  $m_*(X_\alpha) = m(X_\alpha)$ , the result follows.  $\square$

**Theorem 5.3.** Zero Slice Theorem:

$E$  is a zero set if and only if almost every slice of  $E$  is a zero set.

*Proof.* The sufficient direction can be approached by 5.2 simply. As non measure zero slice is  $\cup_{n=1}^{\infty} X_{\frac{1}{n}}$ , since each  $X_{\frac{1}{n}}$  is measure zero, so is its union.

For the necessary direction, we may proof the  $E_x = 0 \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , and  $E \subset I^n$  case first.

Take a compact set  $K \in E$ , and the slice of  $x$  on  $K_x$  can be cover by a open set  $V$  with  $m(V) \leq \epsilon$ . By the compactness of  $K$ , we know there is a open ball  $U$  around  $x$  such that  $\forall x' \in U, K_{x'} \in V$ .

Consequently, we can covers  $K$  by  $\cup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} U(x) \times V(x)$ , and we can pick those finite  $U(x) \times V(x)$  that covers  $K$ .

Then, we can construct  $U'(x)$  the disjoint set from  $U(x)$ , so  $m(\cup U'(x) \times V(x)) \leq 1 \cdot \epsilon$ .

With  $\epsilon$  method, generalise this to unbounded set. Also, it is trivial to prove zero set with slice on them is non-zero.  $\square$

## 6 Lebesgue Integral

We first take  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ . For simplicity, we may use  $n = 1$  in most of the time.

**Definition.** Undergraph and Completed graph of  $f$ :

1. Undergraph of  $f$ :

$$\mathcal{U}(f) = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} | 0 \leq y < f(x)\}$$

2. Completed of  $f$ :

$$\hat{\mathcal{U}}(f) = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} | 0 \leq y \leq f(x)\}$$

**Definition.** (Lebesgue) Measurable Function  $f$ :  $f$  is a measurable function if and only if  $\mathcal{U}f$  is measurable in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ .

Also, we say  $f$  is Lebesgue integrable if  $\mathcal{U}f < \infty$  and write  $\mathcal{U}f = \int f$ .

Here we do not the  $dx$  in Riemann sense because we want to emphasis that it is the Lebesgue measure of undergraph.

When we say  $f_n \rightarrow_{a.e.} f$ , it means that almost every point in Domain of  $f_n$  converge to  $f$ .

**Theorem 6.1.** Monotone Convergence Theorem: If  $f_n \uparrow_{a.e.} f$  and every  $f_n$  is measurable, We have  $f$  measurable, and  $\int f_n \rightarrow \int f$ .

*Proof.* This is simply an application of measure continuity theorem. □

**Definition.** Lower and Upper envelope sequence: For  $f_n$  be a sequence of functions.

1. Lower envelop  $\underline{f}_n := \inf_{k \geq n} f_k$

2. Upper envelop  $\bar{f}_n := \sup_{k \geq n} f_k$

Obviously,  $\bar{f}_n$  is decreasing and  $\underline{f}_n$  is increasing as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

With this we have

$$\int \bar{f}_n = m(\cup_{k=n}^{\infty} \mathcal{U}(f_k)), \text{ and } \int \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \bar{f}_n = m(\cap_{n=1}^{\infty} \cup_{k=n}^{\infty} \mathcal{U}(f_k))$$

And

$$\int \underline{f}_n = m(\cap_{k=n}^{\infty} \mathcal{U}(f_k)), \text{ and } \int \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \underline{f}_n = m(\cup_{n=1}^{\infty} \cap_{k=n}^{\infty} \mathcal{U}(f_k))$$

**Theorem 6.2.** Fatou's Lemma:

$$\int \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int f_n$$

*Proof.* Because  $\underline{f}_n$  is increasing, with MCT, we know  $\int \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \underline{f}_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int \underline{f}_n$  and  $\underline{f}_n \uparrow f$  to some measurable  $f$ .

For each  $f_n$ , we have  $f_k \geq \underline{f}_n \ \forall k \geq n$ , so  $\inf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int f_n \geq \int \underline{f}_n$ , the inequality still holds when we take limit. □



**Corollary 6.2.1** (Reverse Fatou). Suppose  $f_n < g$  and  $g$  is integrable. We have  $\limsup \int f_n \leq \int \limsup f_n$ .

This can be simply deduced as Fatou.

**Theorem 6.3.** (Lebesgue) Dominant Convergence Theorem:

If  $f_n \rightarrow f$  pointwisely, and each  $f_n$  is bounded by an integrable function  $g$ ,  $\int f_n \rightarrow \int f$

*Proof.* The convergence of  $f_n$  gave us that  $\liminf f_n = \limsup f_n$ , so  $\int \liminf f_n = \int \limsup f_n$ . From Fatou's lemma and reverse Fatou, we know

$$\int \liminf f_n \leq \liminf \int f_n \leq \limsup \int f_n \leq \int \limsup f_n$$

The boundeness of  $f_n$  guarantees the last inequality holds, and the  $\int \liminf f_n = \int \limsup f_n$  let us know equation holds everywhere.  $\square$

**Definition.**  $f$ -translation  $T_f$ :  $T_f(x, y) = (x, y + f(x))$ .

**Theorem 6.4.** If  $f$  is integrable  $T_f$  is a mesiometry.

*Proof.* When  $f$  is an step function, it would be trivial. Also, we have  $\mathcal{U}f \cup T_f(\mathcal{U}g) = \mathcal{U}(f + g) = T_g(\mathcal{U}f) + \mathcal{U}g$ .

Then for each cube  $K^{n+1}$ , we can construct an  $g = \chi_{K^n}$ , than,  $m(\mathcal{U}f) + m(T_f(K^{n+1})) = m(T_g(\mathcal{U}f)) + m(K^{n+1})$ .

So  $m(K^{n+1}) = m(T_f(K^{n+1}))$ . Since every measurable set can be sandwich by  $G_\delta$  and  $F_\sigma$ , the result follows.  $\square$

## 7 Italian Measure Theory

Although in Lebesgue Integral, we do not write  $dx$  as the differential term, we may still write  $\int f dx$  to indicate the integration variable.

**Proposition 7.1.** Cavalieri's Principle: Suppose the  $E \in \mathbb{R}^{n+m}$  is measurable,  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n, E_x$  is measurable a.e., and the function  $x \rightarrow m(E_x)$  is also measurable. Moreover,

$$m(E) = \int m(E_x) dx$$

*Proof.* This holds true when  $E$  is zero set or cube, so it holds true of any open set.

Consequently, it still hold true for every bounded set, with  $\epsilon$ -method, this can be generalised to every measurable set.  $\square$

**Theorem 7.1.** Preimage definition of measurable function is equivalent to Undergraph definition of measurable function.

*Proof.* From Preimage definition to Undergraph definition can be deduced by characteristic function and monotone.

From Undergraph to Preimage: From Cavalieri's Principle,  $(\mathcal{U}f)_y$  is measurable almost everywhere, and it is obvious that  $(\mathcal{U}f)_y = \{x | f(x) \geq y\}$ . Obviously,  $(\mathcal{U}f)_y \supset (\mathcal{U}f)_{y'}$  if  $y \leq y'$ . So we may choose an  $y_i \downarrow y$  with  $(\mathcal{U}f)_{y'}$  measurable. By measure continuity theorem, the result follows.  $\square$

**Theorem 7.2.** Fubini's theorem:  $f(x, y) \rightarrow R$  is measurable, then

$$\int \int f_x(y) dy dx = \int \int f_y(x) dx dy = \int f$$

*Proof.* This is trivial from Cavalieri's Principle.  $\square$

## 8 Vitali Coverings and Density Points

**Definition.** Vitali Covering:

For a set  $S$  and  $\mathcal{V}$  a covering of  $S$ , if  $\forall \epsilon > 0, p \in S, \exists V \in \mathcal{V}$  s.t.  $p \in V, \text{diam}(V) \leq \epsilon$ .  
 $\text{diam}(\cdot)$  is the diameter of a given set.

**Theorem 8.1.** Vitali's Covering Theorem:

If  $\mathcal{V}$  is a closed ball Vitali Covering of set  $S$ , exist a countable subcollection of  $\mathcal{V}$ , says  $\cup_{i=1}^{\infty} V_i = U$  s.t.

1.  $V_i, V_j$  disjoint to each other.
2.  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} m(V_i) < m^*(S) + \epsilon$
3.  $m^*(S \setminus U) = 0$

We call this  $U$  covers  $S$  efficiently (almost every  $S$ ).

*Proof.* Firstly assume  $S$  is bounded. Covers  $S$  with a open set  $W$  with  $m(S) < m^*(S) + \epsilon$ , retake  $\mathcal{V} = W \cap \mathcal{V}_0$  with  $\mathcal{V}_0$  the original Vitali covering. We have  $\sup_{V \in \mathcal{V}} \text{diam}(V) \leq \infty$  now. Then, construct a sequence of  $U$  similar to 8.1.1, it is the desired efficient covering.

It must satisfy (1),(2) for sure. Take  $U_n = \cup_{i=1}^n B_i$ , it is closed, obviously. So we have  $\{B \in \mathcal{V}, B \cap U_n = \emptyset\}$  still a Vitali covering that covers  $S \setminus U_n$ . Moreover, We have  $\cup_n^{\infty} B_i$  a collection of  $\cup_{i=n}^{\infty} 5B_i \supset \{B \in \mathcal{V}, B \cap U_n = \emptyset\} \supset S$ . So  $\cup_{i=n}^{\infty} 5m(B_i) > m^*(S \setminus U_n)$ .

With  $\cup_{i=n}^{\infty} 5m(B_i) \rightarrow 0$ , (3) holds spontaneously.

For the unbounded case, approaching it from bounded subspace, the results follows.  $\square$

**Lemma 8.1.1.** Vitali's Covering Lemma:

In a separable metric space, for any collection of closed balls  $F = \{B_i | i \in J\}$  with  $\sup\{\text{diam}(B_i) | i \in J\} \leq \infty$ , we can find a countable disjointed collection of balls  $\cup_{i=1}^{\infty} B_{j_i}$  with

$$\cup_{i=1}^{\infty} 5B_{j_i} \supset \cup_{i \in J} B_i.$$

$5B$  means  $B$  still centred in the same place, only the diameter expands five times.

*Proof.* Firstly, suppose  $F$  is bounded.

Set  $R_0 = \sup_{B \in F} \text{diam}(B)$ , pick  $B_{j_1}$  with  $\text{diam}(B_{j_1}) > \frac{1}{2}R_0$

Iteratively, let  $H_i = \{B | B \in H_{i-1}, B \cap \cup_{k=1}^{i-1} B_{j_k} = \emptyset\}$ ,  $B_{j_i}$  with  $\text{diam}(B_{j_i}) > \frac{1}{2} \sup_{B \in H_{i-1}} \text{diam}(B)$ .

Collect each  $B_{j_i}$  and construct  $U = \cup_{i=1}^{\infty} B_{j_i}$ , if  $B \cap U \neq \emptyset$  we have  $B \subset 5U$  simply from triangular inequality.

Because  $F$  is bounded, we have  $\text{diam}(B_{j_i}) \rightarrow 0$ . Thus, for each  $B \in F$   $\text{diam}(B) > 0$ , it must fall out from some  $H_n$ .

Bounded case is proved.

For the unbounded case, We can approach the unbounded  $F$  by countable increasing closed set. The result follows.  $\square$

## 8.1 Density Point

**Definition.** Density:

We say the concentration of measurable set  $E$  in  $Q$  is

$$\frac{m(E \cap Q)}{m(Q)}$$

Or  $[E : Q]$  for simplicity

So we say the density of  $E$  in  $p$ , a point in  $E$  is

$$\lim_{Q \rightarrow p} [E : Q]$$

Or  $\delta(E, p)$  for simplicity, and  $\bar{\delta}, \underline{\delta}$  as limit inf and limit sup.

If  $\delta = 1$  we say the point is a density point.

**Theorem 8.2.** Lebesgue Density Theorem:

If  $E$  is measurable,  $\delta(p, E) = 1$  for almost every  $p \in E$ .

*Proof.* Fix an  $1 > a > 0$ . Define  $X_a = \{x | x \in E, \delta(x, E) < a\}$ . It means for every  $p \in X_a$ , we can find a closed cube  $Q$  such that  $x \in Q$ ,  $|Q| < \epsilon$ , and  $[E : Q] < a$ . Collect all these  $Q$ . Obviously, it is a Vitali Covering.

By VCT, we may have an  $\cup_{\mathbb{N}} Q_i$  that covers  $X_a$  efficiently, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} m^*(X_a) &< \sum m(Q_i) + \epsilon \\ &= \sum m(Q_i \cap X_a) + \epsilon \\ &\leq a \sum m(Q_i) \leq a(m^*(X_a) + \epsilon) \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\epsilon$  and  $a$  are arbitrary, the result follows. □

## 9 Lebesgue Calculus

**Definition.** Average and density of a function:

Average of  $f$  on a measurable set  $A$  is

$$\bar{f}_A = \frac{1}{m(A)} \int_A f = [f : A]$$

Density of  $f$  on a point  $p$  is

$$\delta(p, f) = \lim_{Q \downarrow p} [f : Q]$$

**Theorem 9.1.** Average Value Theorem:

Take a locally integrable function  $f$ ,

$$f(p) = \delta(p, f)$$

almost every  $p$  in domain.

*Proof.* WOLG, We may refrain  $f$  on an interval  $X$  and assume  $f > 0$ . Given  $\alpha > 0$ ,  $I_k = [k\alpha, (k+1)\alpha)$ ,  $I_k^{-1} = f_k^{-1}$ . Suppose  $f(p) \in I_k$ , Write

$$\int_Q f = \frac{1}{m(Q)} \left( \int_{A \cap Q} f + \int_{B \cup Q} f + \int_{C \cup Q} f \right)$$

with  $A = \cup_{i=0}^{k-1} I_i^{-1}$ ,  $B = I_k^{-1}$ ,  $C = \cup_{i>k} I_i^{-1}$ .

With  $[A : Q] \rightarrow 0$  and  $[B : Q] \rightarrow 1$ , and  $f$  is bounded in  $A, B$ , we have  $[A : Q](\int_{A \cap Q} f) + [B : Q](\int_{B \cup Q} f)$  bounded in  $[k\alpha, (k+1)\alpha]$  when  $m(Q) \rightarrow 0$ .

For the third term, truncate  $f$  with  $f_n = \min(f, n)$ , and  $g_n = f - f_n$ . Because  $f$  is integrable, we know  $\int g_n \rightarrow 0$ . Pick

$$X(\alpha, g_n) = \{x | \bar{\delta}(x, g_n) > \alpha\}$$

It is easily to see that  $m(X(\alpha, g_n)) \rightarrow 0$  from 9.1.1. So  $X(\alpha, g_n)^c = X$  a.e.

That is, for almost every  $p$ , we may find an  $n$  s.t.  $\bar{\delta}(p, g_n) \leq \alpha$ .

So the third term is

$$\frac{1}{mQ} \int_{C \cap Q} f_n + \frac{1}{mQ} \int_{C \cap Q} g_n$$

The first one tends to 0 as  $[C : Q] \rightarrow 0$ , the second one is smaller than  $\alpha$ .

Combine all of three terms, we have  $[f : Q] \in [k\alpha, (k+2)\alpha]$  for almost every  $p$ . With  $\alpha \rightarrow 0$ , the result follows.  $\square$

**Lemma 9.1.1.** Chebyshev's Density inequality:

Define  $X_{a,f} = \{x : \bar{\delta}(x, f) > a\}$ ,

$$a \cdot m(X_{a,f}) \leq \int f.$$

*Proof.* For each  $x \in X_{a,f}$ , we have a small  $Q$  covers  $x$  and  $[f : Q] > a$ . Collect these  $Q$ , its a Vitali covering. We may find a efficient covering  $V = \cup_{\mathbb{N}} Q_i$  covers  $X_{a,f}$  with  $a \leq [f : Q_i] \implies a \cdot m(Q_i) \leq \int_{Q_i} f$ .

So

$$a \cdot m^*(X_{a,f}) \leq \sum a \cdot m(Q_i) \leq \sum_{\mathbb{N}} \int_{Q_i} f \leq \int f$$

The result follows.  $\square$

**Corollary 9.1.1.** Assume a  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is integrable. Take  $F(x) = \int_a^x f(x)$ , we have  $F'(x) = f(x)$  a.e.

*Proof.* From the Average Value Theorem, we have  $Q$  is actually an interval, so

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{F(x+h) - F(x)}{h} = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \int_h^1 f(t) = f(x)$$

Same for  $[x-h, x]$ .  $\square$

**Definition.** Absolutely Continuous: For every  $\epsilon > 0$ , exist an  $\delta > 0$  s.t. If  $\sum_{i=1}^n |[a_i, b_i]| < \delta$ ,  $\sum_{i=1}^n |f(a_i) - f(b_i)| < \epsilon$ , and  $[a_i, b_i]$  disjoint to each other.

Measure Continuous: If  $Z$  is a zero set,  $m(f(Z)) = 0$ .

**Theorem 9.2.** Lebesgue's Fundamental Theorem:

Take  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  integrable, and  $F(x) = \int_a^x f(t)dt$ , then:

1.  $F$  is absolutely continuous.
2.  $F' = f$  a.e.
3. If  $G$  absolutely continuous, and  $G' = f$ ,  $G - F = c$ .

*Proof.* Assume  $f > 0$  WLOG.

1. If  $f$  is bounded in  $M$ , it is obvious that  $\sum m(F(I_k)) \leq \sum Mm(I_k)$ . For any  $\epsilon > 0$ , we may pick  $\delta = \frac{\epsilon}{M}$ , so  $F$  is absolutely continuous.

If not, we can chop  $f$  into  $f_n = f\chi_{f(x) < n}$  and  $g_n = f - f_n$ . It is obvious that  $f_n \rightarrow f$  and  $\int g_n \rightarrow 0$ .

For each  $\epsilon$ , pick  $n$  such that  $\int g_n < \epsilon/2$ .

We may find a  $\delta$  for the  $f_n$  function that satisfies the absolutely continuous condition on  $\epsilon/2$ . Then, for the disjointed intervals  $I_k$  with sum less than  $\delta$ ,

$$\sum m(F(I_i)) = \sum \int_{I_i} f_n + g_n \leq \sum \int_{I_i} f_n + \int g_n \leq \epsilon$$

2. This is Corollary 9.1.1

3. Take  $H = G - F$ , so  $H$  is still absolutely continuous and  $H' =_{a.e.} 0$ .

Pick a fixed  $x' \in [a, b]$ , and define  $X = \{x \in [a, x'] | H'(x) = 0\}$ . Fix a  $\epsilon > 0$ . For every  $x \in X$ , we have

$$\frac{H(X+h) - H(x)}{h} \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2(b-a)}$$

with small  $h$  (obviously  $x \in [x, x+h]$ ). This forms a Vitali covering. We may find an  $\cup I_i$  that covers  $X$  efficiently. and some  $N$  that  $\sum_{i=1}^N |I_i| > (x' - a - r)$  with  $r > 0$

With the same  $\epsilon$ , we may find a  $\delta$  satisfy the absolutely continuous condition of  $H$  in  $\epsilon/2$ . Pick  $r = \delta$ , and  $J$  is the collection of  $[a, x'] - \cup I_i$ , obvious, is still a finite collection of interval. We have

$$H(x') - H(x) = \sum_{i=1}^N H(I_i) + \sum_{i=1}^{|J|} H(J_i) \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} \leq \epsilon$$

The former comes from the  $\frac{H(X+h)-H(x)}{h} \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2(b-a)}$ , and the latter comes from absolutely continuous property.

□

## 10 Lebesgue's Last Theorem

**Theorem 10.1.** A monotone function  $f$  in  $[a, b]$  is differentiable almost everywhere.

*Proof.* Take  $D_M^+ f(x)$  as the  $\limsup_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(x+h)-f(x)}{h}$ , and similar for  $+$ ,  $-$ , and  $M, m$ .

Define  $E_{sS} = \{x | D_m^- f(x) < s < S < D_M^+ f(x)\}$  with some  $s < S$ .

Since for every  $x \in E_{sS}$  it is contained in some small  $[x-h, h]$  such that  $\frac{f(x)-f(x-h)}{h} < s$ . We may have an efficient covering  $L = \cup_{\mathbb{N}} [a_i, b_i]$  that covers  $E_{sS}$  with  $\frac{f(a)-f(b)}{a-b} < s$  for each interval. Similar for the  $R$  which is another efficient covering that  $\frac{f(a)-f(b)}{a-b} > S$ , and moreover,  $R_i \in L_j$  for some  $j$ . Thus, with Lemma 10.1.1,

$$m^*(E_{sS}) \leq m(R) = \sum_i \sum_{R_j \in L_i} |R_j| \leq \sum_j \frac{s}{S} |L_j| \leq (\frac{s}{S} m^*(E_{sS})) + \epsilon$$

. So  $E_{sS}$  is zero set for any  $s, S$ . Change  $+$ ,  $-$  and  $M, m$  in the same way. We proved that derivative existed a.e.

In addition, we may prove the  $f'(x)$  is finite a.e. Define  $g_n(x) = n(f(x + \frac{1}{n}) - f(x))$ .  $g_n$  is measurable and  $g_n \rightarrow f'$  a.e., so  $f'$  is measurable, too.

Then,  $\int_a^b f' = \int_a^b \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} g_n \leq \liminf \int_a^b g_n$ ,

We have  $\int_a^b g_n = n \int_b^{b+1/n} f - n \int_a^{a+1/n} f$  (take  $f = f(b)$  if  $x > b$ .) The first one is  $f(b)$ , and the second one is bigger than  $f(a)$ . Combine we have  $\int_a^b g_n \leq f(b) - f(a)$ . The result follows.  $\square$

**Lemma 10.1.1.** Chebyshev's Lemma:

If  $f$  is monotonely increasing on  $[a, b]$ ,  $\frac{f(b)-f(a)}{b-a} = s$  take  $I = \{[a', b'] \subset [a, b] | \frac{f(b')-f(a')}{b'-a'} > S\}$ ,  $S > s$  and each interval in  $I$  is disjointed. Then we have

$$|I| \leq \frac{s}{S}(b-a)$$

*Proof.* Because  $f$  is nondecreasing,  $s(b-a) = (f(b) - f(a)) \geq \sum_{[a'_k, b'_k] \in I} f(b'_k) - f(a'_k) \geq \sum_{[a'_k, b'_k] \in I} S(b'_k - a'_k)$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 10.1.1.** Lipschitz function is differentiable a.e.

**Definition.** Bounded Variation of a function  $f$  on  $S$ :

For every partition  $P$  of  $S$ :  $\sum_P \Delta f < C$  with  $C$  is a constant. We say  $P$  is of B.V.

**Theorem 10.2.** An absolutely continuous function on  $[a, b]$  is of B.V.

*Proof.* We may find an  $\delta > 0$  such that  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |b_i - a_i| \leq \delta \implies \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |f(b_i) - f(a_i)| < 1$ .

We may dissect  $[a, b] = \cup_{k=0}^M [a + k\delta, a + (k+1)\delta]$ . So in each interval, the B.V. is smaller than 1, the total B.V., as a result, smaller than  $M$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 10.2.1.** A function  $f$  of B.V is differentiable a.e.

*Proof.* We may write  $f$  as subtraction of two increasing function. (How?) Because increasing function is differentiable a.e., so is their subtraction.  $\square$

**Theorem 10.3.** Lebesgue's main theorem:

Lebesgue's fundamental theorem is the if and only if relation.

## 11 Additional Topics

Here we talk about some other things I am lazy to categorise.

### 11.1 Littlewood's Three Principles

Littlewood introduced the concept of "nearly", which means except an  $\epsilon$  set with  $\epsilon > 0$ .

**Theorem 11.1.** Littlewood's First Theorem:

For every measurable set, it contains a compact set that is nearly the set.

Which is the regularity of measurable set.

**Theorem 11.2.** Littlewood's Second Theorem:

Every measurable function is nearly continuous.

*Proof.* The codomain of the function  $f$  can be covered by rational endpoints  $I_i = (q_j, q_l)$ , which is countable and take  $E_i = \{f \in I_i\}$ , which is sandwiched by some closed and open sets  $K_i \subset E_i \subset U_i$  with  $m(U_i \setminus K_i) \leq \epsilon/2^i$ . Take  $S_i = U_i \setminus K_i$ , so  $m(\cup S_i) \leq \epsilon$  and define  $T = (\cup S_i)^c$ .

Suppose  $\forall x_k \in T, x_k \rightarrow x \in T$ , fixed an  $\sigma > 0$ . We must have some  $|I_j| \leq \sigma$  and  $f(x) \in I_j$ , and obviously,  $x \in E_j \subset U_j$ . From the openness of  $U_j$  we know for some  $K$ ,  $x_k \in U_j$  if  $k > K$ . Moreover, because  $x_k$  not in  $S_i$ , it must be in  $K_i$ , too.  $x_k \in E_j$  as well. Consequently,  $f(x_k) \in E_j$ , and  $|f(x_k) - f(x)| \leq \sigma$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 11.3.** Littlewood's Third Theorem:

Almost everywhere convergence (of measurable function on a compact interval  $[a, b]$ ) implies nearly convergence.

*Proof.*  $f_n \rightarrow f$  a.e. imply for every  $l$ , define  $X_{k,l} = \{x | |f_k - f| > \frac{1}{l}\}$ ,  $X_{k,l} \rightarrow_{a.e.} [a, b]$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$

Fix an  $\epsilon > 0$ , we can construct a sequence  $X_{k(l),l}$  with  $m(X_{k(l),l}^c) \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2^l}$ . Take  $l \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have  $m(\cup X_{k(l),l}^c) < \epsilon$  so  $(\cup X_{k(l),l}^c)^c = \cap X_{k(l),l}$  differ from  $[a, b]$  with only an  $\epsilon$  set.

In the  $\cap X_{k(l),l}$ , for any given  $\sigma > 0$ , we may find an  $1/l < \sigma$ , so for all  $x \in X_{k(l),l}$ ,  $|f_n(x) - f(x)| \leq 1/l < \sigma$ .

Because  $X_{k(l),l} \supset X_{k(l),l}$ , the result follows.  $\square$

### 11.2 $L^p$ spaces

**Definition.**  $L^p$  norm of a function  $f$  is  $(\int |f|^p)^{-1/p} = \|f\|_p$ .

If  $p = \infty$ , we have  $\|f\|_\infty = \inf c |f| < c$  a.e.

**Theorem 11.4.** Holder's inequality:

$\|fg\|_1 < \|f\|_p \|g\|_q$  with  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$

*Proof.* Young's Inequality:  $ab \leq \frac{a^p}{p} \frac{b^q}{q}$  with  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$

Take  $a = |f|/(||f||_p)^{1/p}$ ,  $b = |g|/(||g||_q)^{1/q}$ , so

$$\int ab \leq \frac{1}{p} \int a^p + \frac{1}{q} \int b^q = 1$$

Replace  $a, b$  with original form, the result follows. □

Because I use L<sup>A</sup>T<sub>E</sub>X, I am too lazy to use the o with two dots.

**Theorem 11.5.** Minkowski's Inequality:

$$||f + g||_p \leq ||f||_p + ||g||_p$$

with  $p > 1$ .

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned} ||f + g||_p^p &= \int |f + g|^p \leq \int |f| |f + g|^{p-1} + \int |g| |f + g|^{p-1} \\ &\leq (||f||_p + ||g||_p) \left( \int |f + g|^{(p-1)\frac{p}{p-1}} \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \text{ (with Holder)} \\ &\leq (||f||_p + ||g||_p) (||f + g||_p^{p-1}) \end{aligned}$$

Cancel two side and get the answer. □

**Theorem 11.6.** For  $f_n \rightarrow f$  in  $L^p$ , there's a subsequence such that  $f_{n_k} \rightarrow_{a.e.} f$

*Proof.* Converge in  $L^p$  implies converge in measure by Chebyshev's inequality.

Take  $E_n = \{x | |f_n - f| > \epsilon_n\}$ , we can find a  $\epsilon_n \rightarrow 0$  and subsequence s.t.  $m(E_{n(k)}) < \frac{1}{2^k}$ .

With the Borel-Cantalli Lemma (11.6.1), we know  $m(\limsup E_n) = 0$ . This is equivalent to  $f_n \rightarrow_{a.e.} f$ . □

**Lemma 11.6.1.** Borel-Cantalli Lemma: If  $\sum m(E_i) \leq \infty$ ,  $m(\limsup E_i) = 0$ .

*Proof.* It is easy because  $\limsup E_i = \cup_{j=i}^{\infty} E_j$ , so  $m(\limsup E_i) \leq \sum_{j=i}^{\infty} m(E_j)$ . The latter converge to zero. □